

BITTERROOT RESOURCES LTD.
MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION & ANALYSIS

For the year ended October 31, 2013
As of February 14, 2014

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	3
FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS.....	3
SUMMARY OF ACTIVITIES	4
OVERALL PERFORMANCE.....	5
Results of Operations	5
Michigan Lands, Michigan	5
North Brenda Project, British Columbia	6
Windy Project, Nunavut.....	6
Other	6
SELECTED ANNUAL INFORMATION.....	6
SUMMARY OF FINANCIAL RESULTS.....	7
Revenues	7
General and administrative expenses.....	7
FINANCIAL CONDITION, LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES.....	8
RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS.....	9
ACCOUNTING STANDARDS ISSUED BUT NOT YET EFFECTIVE	9
FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS AND RISKS.....	10
Capital management	10
Liquidity risk.....	10
Credit risk	11
Currency risk	11
Interest rate risk.....	11
Price risk	11
Fair value	12
RISKS AND UNCERTAINTIES	12
OUTSTANDING SHARES, STOCK OPTIONS AND WARRANTS.....	13
OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS	13
PROPOSED TRANSACTIONS.....	13
CRITICAL ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES.....	14

CONTINGENCIES	14
INTERNAL CONTROLS OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING	14
MANAGEMENT'S RESPONSIBILITY FOR FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.....	14
OTHER MD&A REQUIREMENTS.....	14
DIRECTORS AND OFFICERS	14

BITTERROOT RESOURCES LTD.

MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION & ANALYSIS

For the year ended October 31, 2013

As of February 14, 2014

INTRODUCTION

Bitterroot Resources Ltd. is a mineral resource company engaged directly and indirectly through its wholly-owned subsidiaries, (collectively referred to herein as “the Company” or “Bitterroot”), in the acquisition and exploration of mineral properties. In Michigan, Bitterroot’s wholly-owned subsidiary Trans Superior Resources, Inc. owns recorded mineral title interests covering approximately 363 square miles and leases 2,378 acres of State of Michigan mineral rights in the Upper Peninsula. In southern British Columbia, the Company owns 100 percent interests in the GK (Au), North Brenda (Au/Cu/Mo) and SPN (base metals) claims.

During and subsequent to the year ended October 31, 2013, gold spot prices declined approximately 28% and copper spot prices declined approximately 8%. The Company is exposed to commodity price and equity market risk due to the cyclical nature of the mineral exploration business. Management seeks to minimize commodity risk by exploring for several metals (primarily nickel, copper and PGMs) and seeks to minimize exploration costs and political risk by operating in mining-friendly, road-accessible locations in North America.

The following Management Discussion and Analysis (MD&A) should be read in conjunction with Bitterroot Resources Ltd.’s audited annual consolidated financial statements for the years ended October 31, 2013 and 2012 and the related notes contained therein which have been prepared under International Reporting Financial Standards (“IFRS”). This information and exploration results are presented in news releases and project summaries available on www.sedar.com or on the Company’s website (www.bitterrootresources.com).

All financial information in this MD&A related to 2013 and 2012 has been prepared in accordance with IFRS and all dollar amounts are quoted in Canadian dollars, the reporting and functional currency of the Company, unless specifically noted.

FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS

Certain information in this MD&A, including all statements that are not historical facts, constitutes forward-looking information within the meaning of applicable Canadian securities laws. Such forward-looking information may include, but is not limited to, information which reflect management’s expectations regarding the Company’s future growth, results of operations (including, without limitation, future production and capital expenditures), performance (both operational and financial) and business prospects (including the timing and development of new deposits and the success of exploration activities) and opportunities. Often, this information includes words such as “plans”, “expects” or “does not expect”, “is expected”, “budget”, “scheduled”, “estimates”, “forecasts”, “intends”, “anticipates” or “does not anticipate” or “believes” or variations of such words and phrases or statements that certain actions, events or results “may”, “could”, “would”, “might” or “will” be taken, occur or be achieved.

In making and providing the forward-looking information included in this MD&A the Company’s assumptions may include among other things: (i) assumptions about the price of base metals; (ii) that there are no material delays in the optimisation of operations at the properties; (iii)

assumptions about operating costs and expenditures; (iv) assumptions about future production and recovery; (v) that there is no unanticipated fluctuation in foreign exchange rates; and (vi) that there is no material deterioration in general economic conditions. Although management believes that the assumptions made and the expectations represented by such information are reasonable, there can be no assurance that the forward-looking information will prove to be accurate. By its nature, forward-looking information is based on assumptions and involves known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause the Company's actual results, performance or achievements, or results, to be materially different from future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking information. Such risks, uncertainties and other factors include among other things the following: (i) decreases in the price of base metals; (ii) the risk that the Company will continue to have negative operating cash flow; (iii) the risk that additional financing will not be obtained as and when required; (iv) material increases in operating costs; (v) adverse fluctuations in foreign exchange rates; and (vi) environmental risks and changes in environmental legislation.

This MD&A (See "Risks and Uncertainties") contains information on risks, uncertainties and other factors relating to the forward-looking information. Although the Company has attempted to identify factors that would cause actual actions, events or results to differ materially from those disclosed in the forward-looking information, there may be other factors that cause actual results, performances, achievements or events not to be anticipated, estimated or intended. Also, many of the factors are beyond the Company's control. Accordingly, readers should not place undue reliance on forward-looking information. The Company undertakes no obligation to reissue or update forward looking information as a result of new information or events after the date of this MD&A except as may be required by law. All forward-looking information disclosed in this document is qualified by this cautionary statement.

SUMMARY OF ACTIVITIES

In the year ended October 31, 2013, the majority of Bitterroot Resources Ltd.'s exploration expenditures were on ground-based geophysical (electromagnetic and gravity) surveys and drilling on nickel-copper-PGM targets in Michigan. Exploration and evaluation expenditures in the year were \$1,184,580 and write-downs of exploration and evaluation assets were \$2,744,245. Operating expenses (net of share-based payments) were \$310,540.

In November 2012, the Company issued 135,000 common shares for gross proceeds of \$13,500 from the exercise of 135,000 warrants. In August 2013, the Company closed a non-brokered private placement and issued 12,532,500 units at a price of \$0.08 per unit, for gross proceeds of \$1,002,600. Each unit consists of one common share and one common share purchase warrant. Each warrant will be exercisable at \$0.15 for a period of 12 months. The proceeds of the private placement are being used to fund exploration of the Company's nickel, copper and PGM targets in Michigan and for working capital.

OVERALL PERFORMANCE

Results of Operations

Michigan Lands, Michigan

Bitterroot Resources Ltd. owns a 100% interest in approximately 360 square miles of mineral rights in the Upper Peninsula of Michigan, U.S.A. On approximately 100 square miles (the "Copper Range Lands") the vendor retains a 2% net smelter return royalty (NSR) and the Company has the option to purchase one half of this NSR royalty by paying \$1,000,000 U.S. on or before December 31, 2048. The Company's remaining wholly-owned mineral rights (the "Voyageur Lands") are not encumbered by royalties. The Company also currently holds leases covering 2,378 acres of State of Michigan mineral rights. State leases are subject to a sliding scale production royalty ranging from 2% to 7%, or to a net smelter returns royalty, the terms of which are negotiated on a case-by-case basis.

In the 3rd quarter of 2012, a 5,566 line-kilometre aeromagnetic and VLF-EM survey identified several new targets on Bitterroot's 100 percent-owned mineral rights. These targets are prospective for nickel-copper-PGM-bearing sulphides similar to the high-grade Eagle deposit (5.3 million tonnes @ 3.1% Ni and 2.5% Cu). The Eagle deposit is located in a similar geological setting, approximately 75 km northeast of the area covered by Bitterroot's aeromagnetic survey. Between October 2012 and the date of this report, Bitterroot's geophysical contractors surveyed over 145 line-kilometres with Pulse EM (PEM) and collected over 3,100 gravity measurements on twelve targets. The PEM and gravity surveys have identified five high priority magmatic nickel-copper-PGM drill targets on Bitterroot's 100 percent-owned mineral rights. These targets host coincident magnetic, PEM and gravity anomalies.

In October 2013, Bitterroot Resources Ltd.'s drilling contractor completed 1,115-metres of core drilling to test two targets. Drilling did not intersect mineralization and these two targets have been downgraded.

In September 2013, the Company, through its Michigan subsidiary, entered into agreements to purchase mineral rights on 160 acres of land in Houghton County, Michigan (Target F). This transaction was completed in late December 2013.

In December 2013 and January 2014, gravity surveys were conducted on Target H and Target F-West. A Pulse EM survey was done on Target F-West in February 2014. These surveys have defined several high-priority drill targets. Additional drilling is planned, subject to financing.

In the year ended October 31, 2013, Bitterroot spent \$1,171,456 on its Michigan exploration projects, mainly on geophysical surveys, drilling, land acquisition and technical consultants. The Company wrote-down \$1,310,039 of costs related to exploration on two targets in Michigan. Mr. Glenn Scott, P.Geo is the Qualified Person responsible for the technical content of this disclosure.

North Brenda Project, British Columbia

Soil sampling, mapping and prospecting have expanded the size of multi-element soil geochemical anomalies located in recently logged areas. No field work was done on the North Brenda property in 2013.

In the year ended October 31, 2013, Bitterroot spent \$12,324 on the North Brenda property, mainly on geological consulting. Approximately half of the property will no longer be pursued by the Company. As a result, the Company wrote-down \$1,076,998 of costs related to the North Brenda property. Mr. Charles Greig, P. Geo is the Qualified Person responsible for the technical content of this disclosure.

Windy Project, Nunavut

During the year ended October 31, 2012, Bitterroot's geophysical contractor completed a 5,722 line-kilometre aeromagnetic, VLF-EM and radiometric survey on the Windy property. The survey has identified the continuation of structural and stratigraphic trends which are present on Prosperity Goldfields' adjoining Kiyuk gold property, where drilling has identified a number of significant zones of gold mineralization. No work was done on the Windy property in 2013 due to lack of available funds and the claims have been allowed to lapse.

In the year ended October 31, 2013, the Company ceased exploration on the Windy property and wrote-down \$357,208 of costs related to the property. Mr. Charles Greig, P. Geo is the Qualified Person responsible for the technical content of this disclosure.

Other

The Company owns 100% interests in the GK (Au) and SPN (base metals) claims in southern BC. No work was done on these properties in 2013.

SELECTED ANNUAL INFORMATION

The following table represents selected information of the Company for the three most recently completed financial years (as adjusted under IFRS):

	October 31, 2013	October 31, 2012	October 31, 2011
Total revenue	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Loss and comprehensive loss for the year	3,126,555	4,490,163	3,553,290
Basic and diluted loss per share	(0.03)	(0.04)	(0.04)
Total assets	5,407,697	7,428,677	12,143,747
Total long term liabilities	-	-	875,359

During the year ended October 31, 2013, the Company wrote-down \$2,744,245 of capitalized expenditures on the North Brenda Property, Windy Property and Michigan Lands.

During the year ended October 31, 2012, the Company sold its Mineral Creek Property and as a result, the Company recorded a loss of \$4,651,690 on the sale of its property.

During the year ended October 31, 2011, the Company wrote-off \$3,531,493 of capitalized expenditures on the GK and SPN projects.

SUMMARY OF FINANCIAL RESULTS

Revenues

Due to the Company's status as an exploration and development stage mineral resource company and a lack of commercial production from its properties, the Company currently does not have any revenues from its operations.

General and administrative expenses

During the year ended October 31, 2013, the Company recorded a loss of \$3,126,555 (\$0.03 per share) compared to a loss of \$4,490,163 (\$0.04 per share) for the year ended October 31, 2012. The Company wrote-down certain exploration and evaluation assets of \$2,744,245. The Company recorded a loss of \$4,651,690 on the sale of an exploration and evaluation asset during the year ended October 31, 2012.

Exclusive of share-based payments, general and administrative expenses decreased by \$4,306 for the year ended October 31, 2013 compared to the same period of the prior year.

General and administrative expenses were \$386,617 for the year ended October 31, 2013 compared to \$576,697 for the year ended October 31, 2012. Expenses details are as follows:

- a) Shareholder information of \$17,958 (2012 - \$12,328) and transfer agent fees of \$18,779 (2012 - \$13,210) – The increase is due to increased shareholder information costs and transfer agent fees.
- b) Professional fees of \$68,152 (2012 - \$92,166) and regulatory fees of \$8,800 (2012 - \$10,876) – The decrease is mainly the result of decreased activity in the Company and IFRS transition fees in the prior year.
- c) Share-based payments of \$76,077 (2012 - \$261,851) – The Company granted 800,000 (2012 – 4,090,000) stock options to Directors, which are valued at \$76,077 using the Black-Scholes option pricing model.

Fourth Quarter

During the three months ended October 31, 2013, the Company recorded a loss of \$2,911,247 (\$0.02 per share) compared to income of \$462,255 (\$0.00 per share) for the three months ended October 31, 2012. Professional fees increased by \$32,099 as prior year's fees include taxes paid related to the filing of the Information returns for the 2012 year-end, in addition to higher audit fees. Total share-based payments, including capitalized amounts, increased by \$95,095 as a result of 1,000,000 stock options granted to Consultants and Directors during the three-month period ended October 31, 2013 using the Black-Scholes option pricing model.

The Company recorded a deferred income tax recovery of \$nil during the three months ended October 31, 2013, compared to \$744,000 in the comparative period.

SUMMARY OF QUARTERLY RESULTS

The following tables set forth a comparison of revenues and expenses for the previous eight quarters ending with October 31, 2013.

	October 31, 2013	July 31, 2013	April 30, 2013	January 31, 2013
Revenue	\$ nil	\$ nil	\$ nil	\$ nil
Loss for the period	(2,911,247)	(67,608)	(92,394)	(55,306)
Exploration and evaluation assets	4,777,223	7,131,874	7,054,268	6,614,003
Total assets	5,407,697	7,210,415	7,326,391	7,431,133
Loss per share	(0.02)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)

	October 31, 2012	July 31, 2012	April 30, 2012	January 31, 2012
Revenue	\$ nil	\$ nil	\$ nil	\$ nil
Earnings (loss) for the period	462,255	(4,659)	(247,179)	(4,700,580)
Exploration and evaluation assets	6,336,888	6,084,316	5,911,256	6,894,178
Total assets	7,428,677	7,400,049	7,718,199	7,456,575
Income (loss) per share	0.00	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.05)

During the quarter ended October 31, 2013, the Company recorded share-based payments of \$76,077 and wrote-down \$2,744,245 of its North Brenda, Windy and Michigan properties.

During the quarter ended October 31, 2012, the Company recorded a deferred income tax recovery of \$744,000 and share-based payments expense of \$168,638.

During the quarter ended January 31, 2012, the Company recorded a loss of \$4,751,640 on the sale of its Mineral Creek Project.

FINANCIAL CONDITION, LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

As at October 31, 2013, the Company had cash of \$593,407, accounts payable and accrued liabilities of \$99,714 and working capital of \$502,765.

In August 2013, the Company received \$1,002,600 from the issuance of 12,532,500 common shares from the completion of a non-brokered private placement.

During the year ended October 31, 2013, the Company received \$13,500 from the issuance of 135,000 common shares upon the exercise of warrants.

RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

The following is a summary of related party transactions and balances for the year ended October 31, 2013:

- (a) Management fees of \$102,000 (2012 - \$96,000) were incurred from a company controlled by the CEO of the Company.
- (b) Share-based payments includes stock options granted to directors and officers recorded at a fair value of \$76,077 (2012 - \$261,851).

ACCOUNTING STANDARDS ISSUED BUT NOT YET EFFECTIVE

The Company has reviewed new and revised accounting pronouncements that have been issued but are not yet effective. The Company has not early adopted any of these standards and is currently evaluating the impact, if any, that these standards might have on its financial statements.

Accounting Standards Issued and Effective January 1, 2013

IFRS 10 Consolidated Financial Statements replaces the guidance on control and consolidation in IAS 27, Consolidated and Separate Financial Statements, and SIC-12, Consolidation – Special Purposes Entities. IFRS 10 changes the definition of control under IFRS so that the same criteria are applied to all entities to determine control.

IFRS 11 Joint Arrangements establishes the core principle that a party to a joint arrangement determines the type of joint arrangement in which it is involved by assessing its rights and obligations and accounts for those rights and obligations in accordance with that type of joint arrangement.

IFRS 12 Disclosure of Involvement with Other Entities requires the disclosure of information that enables users of financial statements to evaluate the nature of, and risks associated with, its interests in other entities and the effects of those interests on its financial position, financial performance and cash flows.

IFRS 13 Fair Value Measurement defines fair value, sets out in a single IFRS framework for measuring fair value and requires disclosures about fair value measurements. IFRS 13 applies when another IFRS requires or permits fair value measurements or disclosures about fair value measurements (and measurements, such as fair value less costs to sell, based on fair value or disclosures about those measurements), except for: share-based payment transactions within the scope of IFRS 2 Share-based Payment; leasing transactions within the scope of IAS 17 Leases; measurements that have some similarities to fair value but that are not fair value, such as net realizable value in IAS 2 Inventories or value in use in IAS 36 Impairment of Assets.

IAS 27 Separate Financial Statements has the objective of setting standards to be applied in accounting for investments in subsidiaries, joint ventures, and associates when an entity elects, or is required by local regulations, to present separate (non-consolidated) financial statements.

IAS 28 Investments in Associates and Joint Ventures prescribes the accounting for investments in associates and sets out the requirements for the application of the equity method when accounting for investments in associates and joint ventures. IAS 28 applies to all entities

Accounting Standards Issued and Effective January 1, 2014

IAS 32, “Financial Instruments: Presentation” clarifies certain aspects because of diversity in application of the requirements on offsetting, focused on four main areas:

- the meaning of ‘currently has a legally enforceable right of set-off’;
- the application of simultaneous realization and settlement;
- the offsetting of collateral amounts; and
- the unit of account for applying the offsetting requirements.

FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS AND RISKS

Capital management

The Company manages its capital to safeguard the Company’s ability to continue as a going concern, so that it can continue to provide adequate returns to shareholders and benefits to other stakeholders, and to have sufficient funds on hand for business opportunities as they arise.

The Company considers the items included in share capital as capital. The Company manages the capital structure and makes adjustments to it in the light of changes in economic conditions and the risk characteristics of the underlying assets. In order to maintain or adjust the capital structure, the Company may issue new shares through short-term prospectuses and private placements, or return capital to shareholders. As at October 31, 2013 the Company does not have any debt and is not subject to externally imposed capital requirements.

The Company is exposed to various financial instrument risks and assesses the impact and likelihood of this exposure. These risks include liquidity risk, credit risk, currency risk, interest rate risk and price risk. Where material, these risks are reviewed and monitored by the Board of Directors.

Liquidity risk

Liquidity risk is the risk that the Company will not be able to meet its financial obligations as they fall due. The Company is considered to be in the exploration stage. Thus, it is dependent on obtaining regular financings in order to continue its exploration programs. Despite previous success in acquiring these financings, there is no guarantee of obtaining future financings. The Company’s cash consist of cash deposited in business accounts and redeemable guaranteed investment certificates held by high credit quality financial institutions. The Company is not invested in any asset backed commercial paper.

Credit risk

Credit risk is the risk of potential loss to the Company if the counterparty to a financial instrument fails to meet its contractual obligations. The Company's credit risk is primarily attributable to its cash, receivables and reclamation deposits. The Company limits exposure to credit risk by maintaining its cash and reclamation deposits with high-credit quality financial institutions. Deposits held with these institutions may exceed the amount of insurance provided on such deposits. The receivables balance consists of GST recoverable. There is ongoing review to evaluate the credit worthiness of these counterparties. The Company's maximum exposure to credit risk at the reporting date is the carrying value of cash and, receivables and reclamation deposits.

Currency risk

The Company's operations are in Canada and the United States. The international nature of the Company's operations results in foreign exchange risk as transactions are denominated in foreign currency. The operating results and the financial position of the Company are reported in Canadian dollars. The fluctuations of the operating currencies in relation to the Canadian dollar will, consequently, have an impact upon the reported results of the Company and may also affect the value of the Company's assets and liabilities. The Company has not entered into any agreements or purchased any instruments to hedge possible currency risks at this time. A strengthening (weakening) of the Canadian dollar against the US dollar of 10% would not have a significant effect on net loss.

Interest rate risk

The Company's exposure to interest rate risk arises from the interest rate impact on its cash. The Company's practice has been to invest cash at floating rates of interest, in order to maintain liquidity, while achieving a satisfactory return for shareholders. There is minimal risk that the Company would recognize any loss as a result of a decrease in the fair value of any guaranteed bank investment certificates included in cash as they are generally held with large financial institutions.

Price risk

The Company is exposed to price risk with respect to commodity prices. Commodity price risk is defined as the potential adverse impact on earnings and economic value due to commodity price movements and volatilities. The Company closely monitors the commodity prices of precious metals and the stock market to determine the appropriate course of action to be taken by the Company.

Fair value

Financial instruments measured at fair value are classified into one of three levels in the fair value hierarchy according to the relative reliability of the inputs used to estimate the fair values. The three levels of the fair value hierarchy are:

Level 1 – Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities;

Level 2 – Inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly; and

Level 3 – Inputs that are not based on observable market data.

The fair value of cash is measured based on level 1 inputs of the fair value hierarchy.

The estimated fair value of financial liabilities is equal to their carrying values due to the short-term nature of these instruments.

RISKS AND UNCERTAINTIES

Natural resources exploration, development, production and processing involve a number of business risks, some of which are beyond the Company's control. These can be categorized as operational, financial and regulatory risks.

- Operation risks include finding and developing reserves economically, marketing production and services, product deliverability uncertainties, changing government law and regulation, hiring and retaining skilled employees and contractors and conducting operations in a cost effective and safe manner. The Company continuously monitors and responds to changes in these factors and adheres to all regulations governing its operations. Insurance may be maintained at levels consistent with prudent industry practices to minimize risks, but the Company is not fully insured against all risks, nor are all such risks insurable.
- Financial risks include commodity prices, interest rates and the Canadian dollar and the United States dollar, which are beyond the Company's control.
- Regulatory risks include the possible delay in getting regulatory approval to the transactions that the Board of Directors believe to be in the best interest of the Company, increased fees for filing, the introduction ever more complex reporting requirements the cost of which the Company must meet in order to maintain its exchange listing and the resulting potential for accounting errors which may lead to fines, interest charges and cash calls.

Bitterroot's ability to meet its ongoing financial obligations will be determined by management's success in acquiring exploration and evaluation assets, obtaining equity financing, negotiating joint venture arrangements and facilitating the exercise of outstanding share purchase warrants and options. Management is currently having discussions with potential joint venture partners and strategic investors regarding funding of exploration and drilling on these targets. There can be no assurance that the Company will be able to continue to raise funds, in which case it may be unable to meet its obligations. Should Bitterroot be unable to realize its assets and discharge its liabilities in the normal course of business, the realizable value of its assets may

be materially less than the amounts recorded on the statements of financial position. Details of funding commitments on the Company's exploration and evaluation assets are disclosed in Note 4 of the audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended October 31, 2013.

OUTSTANDING SHARES, STOCK OPTIONS AND WARRANTS

The authorized share capital of the Company consists of an unlimited number of common shares.

As at the date of this report, the Company has the following outstanding:

- 126,608,327 common shares
- Stock options:

<u>Number of Options</u>	<u>Exercise Price (\$)</u>	<u>Expiry Date</u>
1,010,000	0.17	July 30, 2014
670,000	0.12	December 20, 2014
570,000	0.10	March 21, 2015
100,000	0.10	July 8, 2015
200,000	0.10	September 2, 2015
650,000	0.12	January 13, 2016
655,000	0.13	April 28, 2016
2,310,000	0.10	January 17, 2017
1,780,000	0.105	September 9, 2017
450,000	0.10	March 24, 2018
900,000	0.105	August 28, 2018
400,000	0.10	January 23, 2019
<u>9,695,000</u>		

- Warrants:

<u>Number of Warrants</u>	<u>Exercise Price (\$)</u>	<u>Expiry Date</u>
<u>12,798,150</u>	<u>0.15</u>	<u>August 5, 2014</u>
<u>12,798,150</u>		

OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

The Company does not have any off-balance sheet arrangements.

PROPOSED TRANSACTIONS

The Company continues to evaluate property acquisitions and dispositions, actively target sources of additional financing through alliances with financial, exploration and mining entities and to explore and develop its exploration and evaluation assets. Should it enter into agreements over new properties, it may be required to make cash payments and complete work expenditure commitments.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with IFRS requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual reports could differ from management's estimates.

CONTINGENCIES

There are no contingent liabilities.

INTERNAL CONTROLS OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting ("ICFR")

In connection with National Instrument 52-109, Certification of Disclosure in Issuer's Annual and Interim Filings ("NI 52-109") adopted in December 2008 by each of the securities commissions across Canada, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer of the Company will file a Venture Issuer Basic Certificate with respect to financial information contained in the unaudited interim financial statements and the audited financial statements and respective accompanying Management's Discussion & Analysis. The Venture Issue Basic Certification does not include representations relating to the establishment and maintenance of disclosure controls and procedures and internal control over financial reporting, as defined in NI 52-109.

MANAGEMENT'S RESPONSIBILITY FOR FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Information provided in this report, including the financial statements, is the responsibility of management. In the preparation of these statements, estimates are sometimes necessary to make a determination of future values for certain assets or liabilities. Management believes such estimates have been based on careful judgments and have been properly reflected in the accompanying financial statements. Management maintains a system of internal controls to provide reasonable assurances that the Company's assets are safeguarded and to facilitate the preparation of relevant and timely information.

OTHER MD&A REQUIREMENTS

Additional disclosure of the Company's technical reports, material change reports, news releases and other information can be obtained on SEDAR at www.sedar.com.

DIRECTORS AND OFFICERS

Michael S. Carr, *CEO, President & Director*

Terence S. Ortslan, *Secretary & Director*

George W. Sanders, *Director*

Barney Magnusson, *Chief Financial Officer*